## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 34699**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 547
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: July 14, 2008
v.	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
BRENT PATCHETT,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	<u> </u>

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bingham County. Hon. Darren B. Simpson, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with six years determinate, for felony injury to a child, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Sarah E. Tompkins, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Brent Patchett was charged with two counts of lewd conduct with a minor under the age of sixteen and, pursuant to a plea agreement, pled guilty to an amended charge of felony injury to a child, I.C. § 18-1501. The district court sentenced Patchett to a unified term of ten years, with six years determinate. Patchett appeals from his judgment of conviction and sentence, contending that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Where a sentence is within the statutory limits, it will not be disturbed on appeal absent an abuse of the sentencing court's discretion. *State v. Hedger*, 115 Idaho 598, 604, 768 P.2d 1331, 1337 (1989). We will not conclude on review that the sentencing court abused its discretion unless the sentence is unreasonable under the facts of the case. *State v. Brown*, 121 Idaho 385, 393, 825 P.2d 482, 490 (1992). In evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence, we consider the nature of the offense and the character of the offender, applying our well-established

standards of review. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 170 P.3d 387 (2007).

Applying the foregoing standards and having reviewed the record, we conclude that the district court did not abuse its discretion by imposing the sentence. Accordingly, Patchett's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.